

To: "Mack, Karyllan Dodson" [Karyllan.Mack@klgates.com]
Cc: []
Bcc: []
From: CN=Sarah Flanagan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Mon 7/30/2012 5:17:34 PM
Subject: RE: Diamond LPRSA - RM 10.9 AOC Signature Pages
<mailto:Flanagan.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov>

Ok, thanks.

From: "Mack, Karyllan Dodson" <Karyllan.Mack@klgates.com>
To: Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/30/2012 01:12 PM
Subject: RE: Diamond LPRSA - RM 10.9 AOC Signature Pages

Yes, Sarah. They dropped out of the Group quite a while back. They were a Funding Member and had paid their buyout payment for the RI/FS.

From: Sarah Flanagan [<mailto:Flanagan.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2012 1:04 PM
To: Mack, Karyllan Dodson
Subject: Diamond LPRSA - RM 10.9 AOC Signature Pages

Hi Karyllan,

One more question: did Alliance Chemical Inc/Pfister. drop out of the CPG? I recall someone (probably Gary Gengel) saying that all the CPG members were participating in RM 10.9, except Occidental, and one other which I thought was Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. But since Alliance/Pfister is gone from the caption, I take it they dropped out of the group as well. Just want to confirm my understanding.

-Sarah

From: "Mack, Karyllan Dodson" <Karyllan.Mack@klgates.com>
To: Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, "Hyatt, Jr., William" <william.hyatt@klgates.com>
Date: 07/17/2012 09:22 AM
Subject: RE: Diamond LPRSA - RM 10.9 AOC Signature Pages

Thanks Sarah. I will look into it and get back to you shortly.

From: Sarah Flanagan [<mailto:Flanagan.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2012 4:23 PM
To: Hyatt, Jr., William; Mack, Karyllan Dodson
Subject: Diamond LPRSA - RM 10.9 AOC Signature Pages

Thank you for sending the original signature pages. I noticed one thing that I thought I should mention:

the signature page (copy) that I had for Lucent Technologies was dated June 7, 2012, and the settling party name was written as "Lucent Technologies Inc. now known as Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc."

The new one is dated May 30, 2012 and the settling party is identified as "Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc."

This made me wonder if Lucent (or Alcatel-Lucent) sent the wrong original. It is not a big deal to me either way so just let me know which one I should use.

Sarah

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of K&L Gates LLP. The contents may be privileged and confidential and are intended for the use of the intended addressee(s) only. If you are not an intended addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me at Karyllan.Mack@klgates.com.